Copper-Catalyzed Intramolecular Dehydrogenative Amidation of Unactivated $\mathsf{C}(\mathsf{sp}^3)$ –H Bonds Using O₂ as the Sole Oxidant

Chunxia Wang, Yudong Yang,* Dekun Qin, Zhen He, and Jingsong You*

Key Laboratory of Green Chemistry [and](#page-5-0) Technology of Ministry of Education, College of [Ch](#page-5-0)emistry, and State Key Laboratory of Biotherapy, West China Medical School, Sichuan University, 29 Wangjiang Road, Chengdu 610064, P. R. China

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-5-0)STRACT: [In this work,](#page-5-0) an aerobic copper-catalyzed intramolecular $C(sp^3)$ -H amidation has been disclosed, which presents a rare example of copper-catalyzed functionalization of an unactivated C(sp³)−H bond with O_2 as the sole oxidant. In addition, a new protocol for the preparation of a removable 5 methoxyquinolyl moiety has been documented.

Recently, transition-metal-catalyzed C−H bond activation
has emerged as a highly efficient strategy for selective
functionalization of targeted molecules and construction of functionalization of targeted molecules and construction of synthetically enabling structures.¹ Notably, transition-metalcatalyzed C−H/X−H (X = C, N, O) dehydrogenative crosscoupling reaction with O_2 as the [so](#page-5-0)le oxidant is regarded as an ideal approach to facilely assemble carbon−carbon bonds and carbon−heteroatom bonds due to its high atom-economy, efficiency, and environmental friendliness.² Although significant progress has been achieved in transition-metal-catalyzed aerobic oxidative functionalization of $C(sp^2)$ -H [b](#page-5-0)onds over the past decade,2b−^h the analogous transformations of unactivated $C(sp³)$ -H bonds with air or O₂ as the oxidant are still scarce. To our [know](#page-5-0)ledge, only aerobic palladium-catalyzed olefinatio n^{3a} and oxygenation^{3b'} of inert $C(sp^3)$ -H bonds have been achieved with the assistance of a redox cocatalyst by Sanford and c[o-](#page-5-0)workers.

Lactams are important structural features that exist in a range of pharmaceuticals, agrochemicals, and natural products. Recently, tremendous efforts have been devoted to the synthesis of these compounds via transition-metal-catalyzed intramolecular amidation of inert C(sp³)−H bonds and/or C(sp²)−H bonds.^{4,5} Although these approaches are concise and efficient, they generally require the use of excess amounts of oxidants such as Ag_2CO_3 Ag_2CO_3 Ag_2CO_3 , BQ, PhI(OAc)₂, and TEMPO, which render these processes less appealing due to the increased cost and formation of stoichiometric undesired byproducts. Considering that the different oxidation states of copper $(Cu(I), Cu(II), and Cu(III))$ could be mutually converted with the assistance of $O_2^{6,7}$ we reasoned that copper would enable aerobic catalytic $C(sp^3)-H$ amidation reaction. In this work, we disclose a Cu-ca[taly](#page-5-0)zed intramolecular dehydrogenative amidation of unactivated C- $(sp³)$ – H bonds with O₂ as the sole oxidant (Scheme 1). It should be noted that transition-metal-catalyzed functionalization of inert $C(sp^3)$ −H bonds using O_2 as the [sole oxid](#page-1-0)ant is still underrepresented.

We commenced our study with N -(quinolin-8-yl)pivalamide as the model substrate (Table 1). Gratifyingly, β -lactam 2a was obtained in 11% isolated yield in the presence of CuI (20 mol %) and Na_2CO_3 (2.0 equiv) at 140 °C under 1 atm of O_2 (entry 1). Encouraged by this result, we next investigated the bases. However, other bases, such as K_2CO_3 , Cs_2CO_3 , K_3PO_4 , CsF, and LiO^tBu, were proved to be ineffective for this reaction (entries 2−6). Interestingly, although most solvents failed to give the desired product in our tests (entries 7−11), benzonitrile was identified as a superior solvent (entry 12). Further investigation of the copper catalyst precursors revealed that the reaction was highly influenced by the nature of the copper source, and the highest yield was achieved with CuI (entries 12−17). Finally, 92% yield of 2a could be afforded when the reaction was performed in a mixture of benzonitrile and σ -xylene (3/2) at 140 $\rm{^{\circ}C}$ under 1 atm of O₂ for 34 h (entry 21). In addition, the yield of 2a was dramatically decreased to 36% when the reaction was carried out under an air atmosphere (entry 22). No product 2a was detected when other directing groups were employed under the optimized condition (II−IV). It should be mentioned that only trace amounts of 2a were obtained when amide 1a was subjected to the modified conditions of Ge, Kuninobu, and Kanai,^{5c,d} in which O_2 was used as the sole oxidant instead.

With the optimized condition in hand, we next investigated the substr[ate](#page-5-0) scope of this reaction (Table 2). As expected, a variety of aliphatic amides were converted into the corresponding β lactams in good to excellent yiel[ds. A pre](#page-2-0)ference for amidation of C(sp³)–H bonds of the β -methyl groups over γ - and δ -methyl groups was observed (2b−d), indicating that the formation of five-membered ring intermediates is more favorable in the cyclometalation step. In addition, this reaction showed a siteselectivity on the β -methyl groups over the methylene groups even in the existence of a β -benzylic site (2b and 2d−n). This result is distinctly different from the previous reports on coppercatalyzed C(sp³)–H amidation, in which a preference for the β benzylic C−H was observed.^{5c,d} However, when amides bearing

Received: June 9, 2015 Published: August 6, 2015

^aGeneral conditions: 1a (0.25 mmol), catalyst (20 mol %), and base (2.0 equiv) were stirred in solvent (0.5 mL) at 140 °C for 24 h under an atmosphere of O_2 . ^bIsolated yields. ^cStirred at 140 °C for 34 h. ^dAir instead of O_2 . n. d. = not detected.

cyclic chains were used as substrates, both the β -methyl and the methylene amidated products were obtained, with a slight preference for the spiro-products (2o−q). Furthermore, 2 phenyl-substituted propanamide was also suitable for the

reaction condition and exclusively gave the methyl C(sp³)−H amidated product in good yield (2r).

To further understand the mechanism, 2,6-di-tert-butyl-pcresol (BHT), which is known as a radical inhibitor, was added. It

8425

^aReaction conditions: 1 (0.25 mmol), CuI (20 mol %), and Na₂CO₃ (2.0 equiv) were stirred in PhCN/0-xylene (0.3 mL/0.2 mL) at 140 °C for 34 h under an O₂ atmosphere. ^bIsolated yields. ^cStirred at 170 °C for 34 h. ^dCuCl instead of CuI was used, 34 h, 170 °C.

was observed that BHT could significantly suppress this reaction, and no product 2a was detected in the presence of 2.0 equiv of BHT (Scheme 2), thus indicating that a radical process may be involved in this transformation.

On the basis of the above observations as well as previous reports, $5d,6,7$ a plausible mechanism is shown in Scheme 3. Initially, Cu(I) reacts with O_2 to afford a Cu(II)-superoxide radical, [whic](#page-5-0)h undergoes electron transfer oxidati[on and H](#page-3-0)abstraction to produce a $Cu(II)$ -hydroperoxo species.^{6a} Next, the resulting Cu(II) species coordinates with amide 1 to form the

Scheme 2. Radical Trapping Experiments

N,N-chelated copper complex **A**. Next, the C(sp³)–H cupration delivers the cyclometallic intermediate B, followed by a disproportionation process to generate Cu(III) complex C. It should be mentioned that the possibility of direct oxidation of $Cu(II)$ complex **B** to $Cu(III)$ species **C** by O_2 could not be ruled out at this stage.^{2d,7a} Finally, reductive elimination of the complex C releases the β -lactam 2 and Cu(I) species to accomplish the catalytic cycle.

Removal of the quinolyl moiety is a key step to give free β lactams. However, the current methods generally need the use of the 5-methoxy analogue, which requires a multiple synthetic procedure to access.^{5a} Inspired by the transition-metal-catalyzed $\text{C}(\text{sp}^2)$ –H methoxylation, 8 we found that free β -lactams could be feasibly afforded [by](#page-5-0) sequential nickel-catalyzed methoxylation, copper-catalyzed amidati[o](#page-5-0)n, and oxidative cleavage, which significantly shortened the synthetic route (Scheme 4).

To conclude, a copper-catalyzed intramolecular amidation of an unactivated C(sp³)−H bond of aliphatic [acid derivat](#page-3-0)ives using $O₂$ as the sole oxidant has been reported. Compared with previously reported transition-metal-catalyzed amidation reactions, the aerobic oxidative functionalization of C(sp³) $-{\rm H}$ bonds

Scheme 3. Proposed Mechanism

Scheme 4. Synthesis of Free β -Lactams

developed herein provides a more economical and practical approach to diverse $β$ -lactams.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Information. The ¹H NMR (400 MHz) chemical shifts were measured using CDCl₃ or TMS as the internal reference (CDCl₃: δ = 7.26 ppm, TMS: δ = 0.00 ppm). The ¹³C NMR (100 MHz) chemical shifts are given using CDCl₃ as the internal standard (CDCl₃: δ = 77.16 ppm). High-resolution mass spectra (HR-MS) were obtained with a Q-TOF (ESI). Compounds 1a−1r were prepared according to the literature procedure.

2,2,4-Trimethyl-N-(quinolin-8-yl)pentanamide (1d). Compound 1d, pale yell[o](#page-5-0)w oil (5.41 g, 67%), was prepared from methyl isobutyrate (3.06 g, 30 mmol) and 1-iodo-2-methylpropane (8.28 g, 45 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 0.89 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 6H), 1.41 $(s, 6H)$, 1.69 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 1.73–1.81 (m, 1H), 7.43 (dd, J = 8.4) Hz, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 7.45−7.54 (m, 2H), 8.13 (dd, J = 8.4 Hz, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.79−8.82 (m, 2H), 10.28 (s, 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 24.1, 25.4, 26.5, 43.6, 50.4, 116.2, 121.2, 121.6, 127.5, 128.0, 134.7, 136.4, 138.8, 148.3, 177.0 ppm. HRMS (ESI⁺): calcd for $\rm{C}_{17}\rm{H}_{23}\rm{N}_{2}\rm{O}$ [M + H]+ 271.1810, found 271.1810.

2,2-Dimethyl-N-(quinolin-8-yl)hexanamide (1f). Compound 1f, pale yellow oil (3.89 g, 48%), was prepared from methyl isobutyrate $(3.06 \text{ g}, 30 \text{ mmol})$ and 1-iodobutane $(8.28 \text{ g}, 45 \text{ mmol})$. ¹H NMR (400 m) MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 0.88 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.31–1.34 (m, 4H), 1.40 (s, 6H), 1.69−1.73 (m, 2H), 7.43 (dd, J = 8.0 Hz, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 7.46−7.54 $(m, 2H)$, 8.13 (dd, J = 8.4 Hz, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.80 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.82 $(dd, J = 3.2$ Hz, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 10.25 (s, 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 14.1, 23.4, 25.8, 27.2, 41.5, 43.9, 116.3, 121.3, 121.6, 127.5, 128.0, 134.8, 136.4, 138.9, 148.3, 176.9 ppm. HRMS (ESI⁺): calcd for $C_{17}H_{23}N_2O$ [M + H]⁺ 271.1810, found 271.1812.

2,2-Dimethyl-N-(quinolin-8-yl)pentanamide (1g). Compound 1g, pale yellow oil (2.48 g, 32%), was prepared from methyl isobutyrate $(3.06 \text{ g}, 30 \text{ mmol})$ and 1-iodopropane $(7.65 \text{ g}, 45 \text{ mmol})$. ¹H NMR (400 m) MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 0.91 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.32–1.41 (m, 8H), 1.66– $1.70 \, (\text{m}, 2H)$, 7.40 $\left(\text{dd}, \text{J} = 8.0 \, \text{Hz}, 4.0 \, \text{Hz}, 1H \right)$, 7.43–7.53 $(\text{m}, 2H)$, 8.10 $(dd, J = 8.0$ Hz, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.79–8.82 (m, 2H), 10.25 (s, 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 14.7, 18.3, 25.7, 43.9, 44.0, 116.2, 121.2, 121.6, 127.5, 127.9, 134.7, 136.3, 138.8, 148.3, 176.8 ppm. HRMS (ESI⁺): calcd for $C_{16}H_{21}N_2O$ [M + H]⁺ 257.1654, found 257.1653.

2-Methyl-2-propyl-N-(quinolin-8-yl)hexanamide (1h). Compound 1h, pale yellow oil (0.85 g, 29%), was prepared from 2 methylpentanoic acid (1.16 g, 10 mmol) and 1-iodobutane (2.76 g, 15 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 0.88 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.92 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.24−1.37 (m, 5H), 1.38 (s, 3H), 1.40−1.46 (m, 1H), 1.52−1.62 (m, 2H), 1.77−1.87 (m, 2H), 7.45 (dd, J = 8.4 Hz, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 7.47−7.55 (m, 2H), 8.15 (dd, J = 8.4 Hz, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.80− 8.83 (m, 2H), 10.23 (s, 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 14.1, 14.8, 18.0, 21.4, 23.5, 26.9, 40.4, 43.0, 47.5, 116.3, 121.2, 121.6, 127.6, 128.1, 134.8, 136.4, 139.0, 148.3, 176.4 ppm. HRMS (ESI⁺): calcd for $C_{19}H_{27}N_2O$ $[M + H]^+$ 299.2123, found 299.2125.

2,2-Dimethyl-3-trifluoromethyl-N-(quinolin-8-yl)propanamide (1i). Compound 1i, yellow oil (0.92 g, 31%), was prepared from methyl isobutyrate (1.02 g, 10 mmol) and 2-iodo-1,1,1-
trifluoroethane (4.20 g, 20 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 1.58 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, 6H), 2.65 (q, J = 11.2 Hz, 2H), 7.47 (dd, J = 8.0 Hz, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 7.51−7.57 (m, 2H), 8.17 (dd, J = 8.4 Hz, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.78 $(dd, J = 6.4 \text{ Hz}, 2.4 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 8.82(\text{dd}, J = 4.4 \text{ Hz}, 1.6 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 10.38 \text{ (s)}$ 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 20.8, 25.8, 41.30–41.33 (m), 43.0 (q, $J = 28$ Hz), 116.5, 121.8, 121.9, 126.5 (q, $J = 276$ Hz), 127.5, 128.1, 134.4, 136.5, 138.9, 148.5, 174.2 ppm. HRMS (ESI⁺): calcd for $C_{15}H_{16}F_3N_2O$ $[M + H]^+$ 297.1215, found 297.1217.

2,2-Dimethyl-N-(quinolin-8-yl)-3-(3-thienyl)propanamide (1n). Compound 1n, yellow solid (7.24 g, 78%), was prepared from methyl isobutyrate (3.06 g, 30 mmol) and 3-bromomethylthiophene $(7.97 \text{ g}, 45 \text{ mmol})$. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 1.42 (s, 6H), 3.07 $(s, 2H)$, 6.92 (dd, J = 4.8 Hz, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.01 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.13 $(dd, J = 4.8 \text{ Hz}, 3.2 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.44 \text{ (dd, } J = 8.4 \text{ Hz}, 4.4 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.49-7.57$ $(m, 2H)$, 8.15 (dd, J = 8.4 Hz, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.77 (dd, J = 4.4 Hz, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.83 (dd, J = 7.6 Hz, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 10.19 (s, 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta = 25.6, 41.5, 44.9, 116.4, 121.5, 121.7, 123.0,$ 125.0, 127.6, 128.1, 129.7, 134.7, 136.4, 138.4, 138.9, 148.3, 176.2 ppm. HRMS (ESI⁺): calcd for $C_{18}H_{19}N_2OS$ $[M + H]^+$ 311.1218, found 311.1218.

General Procedure for Copper-Catalyzed C(sp³)-H Amidation. Amide 1 (0.25 mmol), CuI (9.5 mg, 0.05 mmol), Na_2CO_3 (53.0 mg, 0.5 mmol), and PhCN/o-xylene (0.5 mL, 3/2) were added into an oven-dried 35 mL Schlenk tube with a magnetic stir bar under an O_2 atmosphere. Then, the vial was sealed and stirred rigorously at 140 °C for 34 h. After removal of the solvent, the residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether/EtOAc, 10/1) to give the desired product 2.

3,3-Dimethyl-1-(quinolin-8-yl)azetidin-2-one (2a).^{5d} Purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/EtOAc, 10/1) as a colorless oil (52.0 mg, 92%).

3-Ethyl-3-methyl-1-(quinolin-8-yl)azetidin-2-one ([2b](#page-5-0)).^{5d} Purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/EtOAc, 10/1) as a pale yellow oil (48.1 mg, 80%).

3-Isopropyl-3-methyl-1-(quinolin-8-yl)azetidin-2-o[ne](#page-5-0) (2c). Purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/EtOAc, 10/1) as a pale yellow oil (59.8 mg, 94%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 1.04 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.08 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.41 (s, 3H), 2.03–2.10 $(m, 1H)$, 4.17 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (dd, J = 8.4 Hz, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 7.45−7.50 (m, 2H), 8.08 (dd, J = 8.4 Hz, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.49 (dd, $J = 5.6$ Hz, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 8.80 (dd, $J = 4.4$ Hz, 2.0 Hz, 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 16.6, 17.8, 18.4, 31.9, 57.0, 59.3, 119.4, 121.2, 122.8, 126.8, 129.1, 135.1, 136.0, 140.5, 148.5, 173.5 ppm; HRMS (ESI⁺): calcd for $C_{16}H_{18}N_2ONa$ $[M + Na]^+$ 277.1317, found 277.1317.

3-Isobutyl-3-methyl-1-(quinolin-8-yl)azetidin-2-one (2d). Purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/EtOAc, 10/1) as a pale yellow oil (65.7 mg, 98%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 0.97 $(d, J = 6.4 \text{ Hz}, 3H)$, 1.00 $(d, J = 6.4 \text{ Hz}, 3H)$, 1.44 $(s, 3H)$, 1.55–1.61 (m, 1H), 1.81−1.91 (m, 2H), 4.31 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 4.45 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.37 (dd, J = 8.4 Hz, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 7.46−7.51 (m, 2H), 8.09 (dd, J = 8.4 Hz, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.50 (dd, J = 6.4 Hz, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 8.80 (dd, J = 4.4 Hz, 2.0 Hz, 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 19.3, 22.7, 24.4, 25.2, 43.1, 54.9, 60.1, 119.5, 121.3, 122.8, 126.9, 129.1, 135.3, 136.0, 140.5, 148.6, 174.0 ppm; HRMS (ESI⁺): calcd for $C_{17}H_{20}N_2ONa$ $[M + Na]$ ⁺ 291.1473, found 291.1469.

3-Methyl-3-pentyl-1-(quinolin-8-yl)azetidin-2-one (2e). 5d Purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/EtOAc, 10/1) as a pale yellow oil (62.8 mg, 89%).

3-Butyl-3-methyl-1-(quinolin-8-yl)azetidin-2-one (2f). [Puri](#page-5-0)fied by column chromatography (petroleum ether/EtOAc, 10/1) as a pale yellow oil (50.3 mg, 75%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 0.92 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.34−1.41 (m, 3H), 1.44 (s, 3H), 1.48−1.60 (m, 1H), 1.73−1.77 (m, 2H), 4.25 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.40 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.37 (dd, J = 8.4 Hz, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 7.48–7.49 (m, 2H), 8.09 (dd, J = 8.4 Hz, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.50 (dd, J = 5.6 Hz, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 8.80 (dd, J = 4.4 Hz, 2.0 Hz, 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 14.1, 19.7, 23.2,

27.1, 34.8, 55.4, 58.8, 119.5, 121.3, 122.8, 126.9, 129.1, 135.2, 136.0, 140.5, 148.5, 173.6 ppm; HRMS (ESI⁺): calcd for C₁₇H₂₀N₂ONa [M + Na]⁺ 291.1473, found 291.1477.

3-Methyl-3-propyl-1-(quinolin-8-yl)azetidin-2-one (2g). Purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/EtOAc, 10/1) as a pale yellow oil (47.1 mg, 74%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 0.97 $(t, J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 3H)$, 1.39–1.48 (m, 4H), 1.54–1.63 (m, 1H), 1.71–1.75 $(m, 2H)$, 4.25 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.41 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.37 (dd, J = 8.4 Hz, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 7.46−7.51 (m, 2H), 8.09 (dd, J = 8.0 Hz, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.49 (dd, J = 6.0 Hz, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 8.80 (dd, J = 4.0 Hz, 1.6 Hz, 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 14.6, 18.3, 19.7, 37.3, 55.5, 58.8, 119.5, 121.3, 122.8, 126.9, 129.1, 135.2, 136.0, 140.5, 148.5, 173.6 ppm; HRMS (ESI⁺): calcd for $C_{16}H_{18}N_2ONa$ $[M + Na]^+$ 277.1317, found 277.1315.

3-Butyl-3-propyl-1-(quinolin-8-yl)azetidin-2-one (2h). Purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/EtOAc, 10/1) as a pale yellow oil (59.3 mg, 80%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 0.92 $(t, J = 6.8 \text{ Hz}, 3H)$, 0.97 $(t, J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 3H)$, 1.32–1.61 $(m, 6H)$, 1.71– 1.78 (m, 4H), 4.30−4.35 (m, 2H), 7.38 (dd, J = 8.4 Hz, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 7.46−7.51 (m, 2H), 8.10 (dd, J = 8.4 Hz, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.50 (dd, J = 6.0 Hz, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 8.81 (dd, J = 4.0 Hz, 1.6 Hz, 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 14.2, 14.7, 18.1, 23.4, 26.9, 33.2, 35.7, 56.5, 59.5, 119.4, 121.3, 122.7, 126.9, 129.1, 135.1, 136.0, 140.5, 148.6, 173.2 ppm; HRMS (ESI⁺): calcd for $C_{19}H_{25}N_2O [M + H]^+$ 297.1967, found 297.1975.

3-Methyl-1-(quinolin-8-yl)-3-(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)azetidin-2 one (2i). Purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/ EtOAc, 10/1) as a pale yellow oil (46.3 mg, 63%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 1.59 (s, 3H), 2.59–2.67 (m, 2H), 4.42 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.65 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (dd, J = 8.0 Hz, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 7.47−7.56 (m, $2H$), 8.11 (dd, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1.6 Hz, $1H$), 8.44 (dd, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 1.6 Hz, $1H$), 8.82 (dd, J = 4.0 Hz, 1.6 Hz, 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ $= 19.1, 38.1$ (q, J = 28 Hz), 51.17–51.19 (m), 58.7, 119.9, 121.5, 123.5, 126.6 (q, J = 277 Hz), 126.8, 129.0, 134.7, 136.1, 140.5, 148.9, 170.3 ppm; HRMS (ESI⁺): calcd for $C_{15}H_{13}F_3N_2ONa$ $[M + Na]^+$ 317.0878, found 317.0873.

3-(4-Methoxybenzyl)-3-methyl-1-(quinolin-8-yl)azetidin-2 one $(2j)$.^{5c} Purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/ EtOAc, 10/1) as a pale yellow oil (69.8 mg, 84%).

3-(3,5[-D](#page-5-0)imethylbenzyl)-3-methyl-1-(quinolin-8-yl)azetidin-2-one (2k). Purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/ EtOAc, 10/1) as a pale yellow oil (54.5 mg, 66%); $^1\rm H$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 1.46 (s, 3H), 2.29 (s, 6H), 2.89 (d, J = 14.0 Hz, 1H), 3.14 $(d, J = 14.0 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 4.32 (d, J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 4.41 (d, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 1H),$ 6.85 (s, 1H), 6.92 (s, 2H), 7.36 (dd, J = 8.4 Hz, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 7.48−7.51 $(m, 2H)$, 8.08 (dd, J = 8.4 Hz, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.44 (dd, J = 6.4 Hz, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 8.79 (dd, J = 4.4 Hz, 2.0 Hz, 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 20.0, 21.4, 40.7, 56.0, 58.1, 119.8, 121.3, 123.0, 126.8, 127.9, 128.3, 129.0, 135.0, 136.0, 137.3, 137.8, 140.6, 148.6, 173.3 ppm; HRMS (ESI⁺): calcd for $C_{22}H_{22}N_2ONa$ [M + Na]⁺ 353.1630, found 353.1633.

3-Methyl-3-(3-methylbenzyl)-1-(quinolin-8-yl)azetidin-2 one (2l). Purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/ EtOAc, 10/1) as a pale yellow oil (47.5 mg, 60%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 1.45 (s, 3H), 2.33 (s, 3H), 2.92 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 3.16 $(d, J = 14.0 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 4.31 (d, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 4.42 (d, J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 1H),$ 7.02 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.09−7.11 (m, 2H), 7.18 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (dd, J = 8.0 Hz, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 7.45−7.51 (m, 2H), 8.08 (dd, J = 8.4 Hz, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.42 (dd, J = 6.4 Hz, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 8.78 (dd, J = 4.0 Hz, 2.0 Hz, 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 20.0, 21.6, 40.8, 56.0, 58.1, 119.8, 121.3, 123.0, 126.8, 127.1, 127.5, 128.3, 129.1, 130.9, 135.0, 136.0, 137.4, 138.0, 140.6, 148.6, 173.1 ppm; HRMS (ESI⁺): calcd for $C_{21}H_{20}N_2ONa$ [M + Na]⁺ 339.1473, found 339.1480.

3-(4-Bromobenzyl)-3-methyl-1-(quinolin-8-yl)azetidin-2-one (2m). 5c Purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/EtOAc, 10/1) as a pale yellow oil (45.8 mg, 48%).

3-[Me](#page-5-0)thyl-1-(quinolin-8-yl)-3-(thiophen-3-ylmethyl)azetidin-2-one (2n). Purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/ EtOAc, 10/1) as a pale yellow oil (41.6 mg, 54%); $^1\rm H$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 1.47 (s, 3H), 3.03 (d, J = 14.4 Hz, 1H), 3.18 (d, J = 14.4 Hz, 1H), 4.32 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.07 (dd, J = 4.8

Hz, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.12 (d, $J = 2.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.24 (dd, $J = 4.8$ Hz, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (dd, J = 8.4 Hz, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 7.45−7.52 (m, 2H), 8.08 (dd, J = 8.4 Hz, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 8.42 (dd, J = 6.8 Hz, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 8.78 (dd, J = 4.0 Hz, 1.6 Hz, 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 19.9, 35.4, 55.8, 58.1, 119.7, 121.3, 122.9, 123.1, 125.5, 126.8, 129.0, 129.3, 134.9, 136.0, 137.6, 140.5, 148.6, 172.9 ppm; HRMS (ESI⁺): calcd for $C_{18}H_{16}N_2OSNa$ [M + Na]⁺ 331.0881, found 331.0883.

2-(Quinolin-8-yl)-2-azaspiro[3.4]octan-1-one (2o).^{5d} Purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/EtOAc, 10/1) as a pale yellow oil (22.1 mg, 35%).

1-Methyl-6-(quinolin-8-yl)-6-azabicyclo[3.2.0]heptan-7-one (2o′). 5d Purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/EtOAc, 10/1) as a pale yellow oil (21.4 mg, 34%).

2-(Quinolin-8-yl)-2-azaspiro[3.5]nonan-1-one (2p).^{5d} Purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/EtOAc, 10/1) as a pale yellow oil (26.6 mg, 40%).

1-Methyl-7-(quinolin-8-yl)-7-azabicyclo[4.2.0]octan-8-one (2p′). Purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/EtOAc, 10/1) as a pale yellow oil (12.7 mg, 19%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 1.36–1.43 (m, 2H), 1.45 (s, 3H), 1.50–1.57 (m, 1H), 1.61–1.73 (m, 2H), 1.82−1.98 (m, 3H), 4.99 (t, J = 3.6 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (dd, J = 8.4 Hz, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 7.49−7.57 (m, 2H), 8.11 (dd, J = 8.0 Hz, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.39 (dd, J = 7.2 Hz, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.84 (dd, J = 4.0 Hz, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 16.0, 18.4, 21.3, 23.6, 27.9, 53.3, 64.0, 121.3, 123.7, 127.0, 129.2, 134.4, 136.1, 140.7, 148.9, 173.6 ppm; HRMS (ESI⁺): calcd for $C_{17}H_{18}N_2ONa$ $[M + Na]^+$ 289.1317, found 289.1320.

2-(Quinolin-8-yl)-2-azaspiro[3.6]decan-1-one (2q).^{5d} Purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/EtOAc, 10/1) as a pale yellow oil (37.1 mg, 53%).

1-Methyl-8-(quinolin-8-yl)-8-azabicyclo[5.2.0]nonan-9-one (2q′). 5d Purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/EtOAc, 10/1) as a pale yellow oil (14.7 mg, 21%).

3-Methyl-3-phenyl-1-(quinolin-8-yl)azetidin-2-one (2r). 5d Purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/EtOAc, 10/1) as a pale yellow oil (44.7 mg, 62%).

1-(5-Methoxyquinolin-8-yl)-3,3-dimethylazetidin-2-one $(4a)$.^{3d} Purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether/EtOAc, 8/1) as a pale yellow oil (44.2 mg, 69%).

Synthesis of Compound 3a. Amide 1a (0.25 mmol) , Ni (acac) , $(6.4 \text{ mg}, 0.05 \text{ mmol})$, PhI $(OMe)_2$ (133.0 mg, 0.5 mmol), PPh₃ (13.1) mg, 0.05 mmol), and MeOH (2 mL) were added into an oven-dried 50 mL Schlenk tube with a magnetic stir bar under an air atmosphere. Then, the vial was sealed and stirred rigorously at 140 °C for 24 h. After removal of the solvent, the residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel (2% EtOAc in petroleum ether, v/v) to afford the desired product 3a in 52% (33.6 mg) yield as a slightly yellow solid.⁵

Effect of Radical Scavenger BHT on Copper-Catalyzed $C(sp^3)$ -H Amidation. Amide $\tilde{1}$ (0.25 mmol), CuI (9.5 mg, 0.05 mmol), Na_2CO_3 (53.0 mg, 0.5 mmol), BHT (110.2 mg, 0.5 mmol), and PhCN/ o -xylene (0.5 mL, 3/2) were added into an oven-dried 35 mL Schlenk tube with a magnetic stir bar under an O_2 atmosphere. Then, the vial was sealed and stirred rigorously at 140 °C for 24 h. Upon completion, no desired product was detected.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

6 Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.5b01302.

> Copies of ${}^{1}H$ NMR and ${}^{13}C$ NMR spectra of the products [\(PDF\)](http://pubs.acs.org)

■ A[UTHO](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b01302/suppl_file/jo5b01302_si_001.pdf)R INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: jsyou@scu.edu.cn (J.Y.).

*E-mail: yangyudong@scu.edu.cn (Y.Y.).

Notes

The aut[hors declare no competing](mailto:yangyudong@scu.edu.cn) financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the National NSF of China for the financial support (Nos. 21272160, 21432005, and 21321061).

■ REFERENCES

(1) For selected reviews on transition-metal-catalyzed C−H bond activation, see: (a) Daugulis, O.; Do, H.-Q.; Shabashov, D. Acc. Chem. Res. 2009, 42, 1074. (b) Giri, R.; Shi, B.-F.; Engle, K. M.; Maugel, N.; Yu, J.-Q. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 3242. (c) Jazzar, R.; Hitce, J.; Renaudat, A.; Sofack-Kreutzer, J.; Baudoin, O. Chem. - Eur. J. 2010, 16, 2654. (d) Baudoin, O. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 4902. (e) Yeung, C. S.; Dong, V. M. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1215. (f) Ackermann, L. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1315. (g) Yamaguchi, J.; Yamaguchi, A. D.; Itami, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 8960. (h) Kuhl, N.; Hopkinson, M. N.; Wencel-Delord, J.; Glorius, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 10236.

(2) For selected examples of transition-metal-catalyzed C−H dehydrogenative functionalization with O_2 as the sole oxidant, see: (a) Hamada, T.; Ye, X.; Stahl, S. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 833. (b) Monguchi, D.; Fujiwara, T.; Furukawa, H.; Mori, A. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 1607. (c) Xiao, B.; Gong, T.-J.; Liu, Z.-J.; Liu, J.-H.; Luo, D.-F.; Xu, J.; Liu, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 9250. (d) John, A.; Nicholas, K. M. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 4158. (e) Zhu, C.; Yi, M.; Wei, D.; Chen, X.; Wu, Y.; Cui, X. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 1840. (f) Xu, H.; Qiao, X.; Yang, S.; Shen, Z. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 4414. (g) Li, G.; Jia, C.; Chen, Q.; Sun, K.; Zhao, F.; Wu, H.; Wang, Z.; Lv, Y.; Chen, X. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2015, 357, 1311. (h) Lu, Y.; Wang, H.-W.; Spangler, J. E.; Chen, K.; Cui, P.-P.; Zhao, Y.; Sun, W.-Y.; Yu, J.-Q. Chem. Sci. 2015, 6, 1923.

(3) (a) Stowers, K. J.; Fortner, K. C.; Sanford, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 6541. (b) Stowers, K. J.; Kubota, A.; Sanford, M. S. Chem. Sci. 2012, 3, 3192.

(4) Zhang, Q.; Chen, K.; Shi, B.-F. Synlett 2014, 25, 1941.

(5) For selected examples of transition-metal-catalyzed intramolecular dehydrogenative amidation of inert C−H bonds, see: (a) He, G.; Zhang, S.-Y.; Nack, W. A.; Li, Q.; Chen, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 11124. (b) Zhang, Q.; Chen, K.; Rao, W.; Zhang, Y.; Chen, F.-J.; Shi, B.- F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 13588. (c) Wang, Z.; Ni, J.; Kuninobu, Y.; Kanai, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 3496. (d) Wu, X.; Zhao, Y.; Zhang, G.; Ge, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 3706. (e) Wu, X.; Zhao, Y.; Ge, H. Chem. - Eur. J. 2014, 20, 9530. (f) Sun, W.- W.; Cao, P.; Mei, R.-Q.; Li, Y.; Ma, Y.-L.; Wu, B. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 480. (g) Wu, X.; Yang, K.; Zhao, Y.; Sun, H.; Li, G.; Ge, H. Nat. Commun. 2015, 6, 6462.

(6) (a) Mirica, L. M.; Ottenwaelder, X.; Stack, T. D. P. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 1013. (b) Wendlandt, A. E.; Suess, A. M.; Stahl, S. S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 11062. (c) Guo, X.-X.; Gu, D.-W.; Wu, Z.; Zhang, W. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 1622.

(7) (a) Chiba, S.; Zhang, L.; Lee, J.-Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 7266. (b) Hoover, J. M.; Ryland, B. L.; Stahl, S. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 2357. (c) Zhang, L.-B.; Hao, X.-Q.; Zhang, S.-K.; Liu, K.; Ren, B.; Gong, J.-F.; Niu, J.-L.; Song, M.-P. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 10399. (d) Huo, C.; Wang, C.; Wu, M.; Jia, X.; Xie, H.; Yuan, Y. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 411.

(8) For selected examples of transition-metal-catalyzed $C(sp^2)$ -H methoxylation, see: (a) Desai, L. V.; Malik, H. A.; Sanford, M. S. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 1141. (b) Wang, G.-W.; Yuan, T.-T. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 476. (c) Suess, A. M.; Ertem, M. Z.; Cramer, C. J.; Stahl, S. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 9797.